PROCEEDINGS B

rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research



Cite this article: Mansfield KL, Mendilaharsu ML, Putman NF, dei Marcovaldi MAG, Sacco AE, Lopez G, Pires T, Swimmer Y. 2017 First satellite tracks of South Atlantic sea turtle 'lost years': seasonal variation in trans-equatorial movement. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **284**: 20171730. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1730

Received: 1 August 2017 Accepted: 2 November 2017

Subject Category:

Behaviour

Subject Areas: behaviour, ecology

Keywords:

Caretta caretta, marine turtle oceanic stage, dispersal, population connectivity, ocean currents, South Atlantic Ocean

Author for correspondence:

Katherine L. Mansfield e-mail: kate.mansfield@ucf.edu

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.c.3935755.



First satellite tracks of South Atlantic sea turtle 'lost years': seasonal variation in trans-equatorial movement

Katherine L. Mansfield¹, Milagros L. Mendilaharsu², Nathan F. Putman^{3,4}, Maria A. G. dei Marcovaldi², Alexander E. Sacco¹, Gustave Lopez², Thais Pires² and Yonat Swimmer⁵

¹Marine Turtle Research Group, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816, USA

²Projeto TAMAR/Fundação Pró-TAMAR, Salvador, BA, Brazil

³LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc., Bryan, TX 77801, USA

⁴Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Miami, FL 33149, USA

⁵NOAA Fisheries, Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, Honolulu, HI 96818, USA

10 KLM, 0000-0002-6568-2861; NFP, 0000-0001-8485-7455

In the South Atlantic Ocean, few data exist regarding the dispersal of young oceanic sea turtles. We characterized the movements of laboratory-reared yearling loggerhead turtles from Brazilian rookeries using novel telemetry techniques, testing for differences in dispersal during different periods of the sea turtle hatching season that correspond to seasonal changes in ocean currents. Oceanographic drifters deployed alongside satellite-tagged turtles allowed us to explore the mechanisms of dispersal (passive drift or active swimming). Early in the hatching season turtles transited south with strong southward currents. Late in the hatching season, when currents flowed in the opposite direction, turtles uniformly moved northwards across the Equator. However, the movement of individuals differed from what was predicted by surface currents alone. Swimming velocity inferred from track data and an ocean circulation model strongly suggest that turtles' swimming plays a role in maintaining their position within frontal zones seaward of the continental shelf. The long nesting season of adults and behaviour of posthatchlings exposes young turtles to seasonally varying ocean conditions that lead some individuals further into the South Atlantic and others into the Northern Hemisphere. Such migratory route diversity may ultimately buffer the population against environmental changes or anthropologic threats, fostering population resiliency.

1. Background

Understanding dispersal and behaviour of a species throughout its life cycle is critical for species conservation [1–3]. Early life-history data are particularly challenging to collect among highly migratory and long-lived marine animals, owing to their small size and the inaccessibility of the open ocean [2,4]. For sea turtles, the in-water dispersal of hatchlings from their natal beaches, and subsequent movements and behaviour during their first years at sea (aptly named the sea turtle 'lost years') remains largely a mystery [4,5]. Early sea turtle ecology and demography are among the most important gaps in sea turtle population assessments [2,6].

The prohibitive cost and logistics associated with offshore sampling historically limited early sea turtle life-history data to opportunistic sightings [7–9], acquiring genetic and size data from turtles accessible from islands such as the Azores or Cape Verde Islands [10–12], laboratory-based experiments studying the sensory ecology of hatchlings [13,14], or simulations of dispersal in ocean circulation

© 2017 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.



Figure 1. (a-c) Prevailing currents offshore of Bahia, Brazil, sea turtle rookery (*a*) early-hatching season (7 December), (*b*) mid-hatching season (4–8 March) and (*c*) late-hatching season (1 May). Mean horizontal surface currents (represented by vectors) derived from Global HYCOM [30] for (a) 7 December (early-hatching season), (*b*) 4–8 March (mid-hatching season) and (*c*) 1 May (late-hatching season). The colours represent the intensity (m s⁻¹) of the meridional component (or *y*-coordinate) of the velocity, where positive values (red) represent a current towards the Equator and negative values (blue) represent a current towards the south. Source: REMO Ocean Data Assimilation System (RODAS) [31].

models [15,16]. The challenges associated with sampling broadly dispersed, cryptic species in the open ocean resulted in a 'patchwork' of data and observations that formed the foundation of accepted life-history and population models persisting for decades. This 'patchwork' of inferred data suggests that most species remain at the sea surface within offshore, oceanic (greater than 200 m depth) waters [7-9,17,18], associate with Sargassum or other flotsam [8,9,18,19], and passively drift and entrain within prevailing ocean currents such as those associated with the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre (NASG) (e.g. [8,9]). Laboratory work demonstrated that young loggerhead sea turtles (Caretta caretta) in the North Atlantic have an innate magnetic sense [13,14], orienting using the Earth's magnetic field as a map to remain within the boundaries of the NASG [20]; however, empirical in-water observations or surveys throughout the ocean basin were missing.

Recently, studies using small, solar-powered bird satellite tags provided insight on the in situ movements and behaviour of sea turtles during their 'lost years' [5,21]. This work documents that not all young, oceanic stage turtles behave as historically hypothesized [5]. While the tracked turtles travelled offshore of the continental shelf and probably remained at or near the sea surface, many turtles dropped out of the currents associated with the Gulf Stream System into the interior of the gyre and the Sargasso Sea, exhibiting strong directional movement [5]. Further, surface- and Sargassum-dwelling young turtles probably benefit from solar radiation and absorption while basking at the sea surface or perched on top of brown mats of Sargassum [5]. This behaviour and a newly hypothesized thermal niche have considerable implications for the survival, fitness and physiology of small, ectothermic surfacedwelling animals dispersing at sea. These findings prompt new questions: is this behaviour shared among sea turtles from different rookeries within different ocean basins where floating habitats such as Sargassum may not be available, or where sea surface temperatures may vary from those in the North Atlantic? Gulf of Mexico field experiments suggest that young wild-caught oceanic turtles are active swimmers and that there are differences among species in this behaviour [22]. These results have broad implications on survival estimates and genetic connectivity for species originating in different regions. Until recently, few empirical studies occurred outside of the western North Atlantic (but see [23–25]), yet data collected within this region were widely applied to all species in all ocean basins (e.g. as reviewed in [17,18]).

In the South Atlantic Ocean, very few empirical data exist regarding the early dispersal and in-water behaviour of oceanic juveniles beyond the hatchling and post-hatchling stage. This ocean basin contains a gyre system similar to that in the North Atlantic, where the Gulf Stream runs offshore of the North Atlantic's primary sea turtle nesting beaches along the Atlantic US coast. The South Equatorial Current (SEC) forms the northern part of the South Atlantic Ocean Subtropical Gyre (SASG), carrying subtropical water from the Benguela Current region towards the Brazil shelf region around 14° S, where, unlike the Gulf Stream in the western North Atlantic, it bifurcates into two western boundary currents (WBCs): the Brazil and North Brazil Currents (BC and NBC) [26]. In the South Atlantic, loggerhead nesting is concentrated along the coast of Brazil, with the majority of nests (approx. 5000 annually) occurring within Bahia, Brazil [27]. Long- and near-shore currents in the western South Atlantic occur offshore of these primary loggerhead nesting beaches; yet, these currents differ from the Gulf Stream in the North Atlantic in that seasonal changes in these currents occur proximal to Brazilian sea turtle nesting beaches, particularly off the Bahia coast to the north. These seasonal changes in ocean circulation could have farreaching implications for hatchling dispersal and rookery productivity associated with Brazil's loggerhead populations.

In Brazil, the loggerhead nesting season occurs from September to March, peaking in November and December [28,29], with hatchlings emerging from nests November through May. It is during this period of hatchling emergence that the intensity and direction of ocean currents off the Bahia coastline change from southward to northward as the hatching season progresses (figure 1a-c), with the additional formation of mesoscale eddy features spinning off of the currents in the mid-hatching season [32].

The continental shelf off the central Brazil coast, extending from 10° S to 16° S, is a unique region because it not only hosts

2

3

the narrowest shelf area along the eastern South American coast [33], but it is also located where the bifurcation of the South Equatorial Current (biSEC) takes place, creating the two WBCs: the poleward BC and the equatorward NBC [26,33]. Annually, the biSEC occurs on average between approximately 10° S to 14° S [34]. Recent ocean circulation studies note that there is significant seasonal variation in the latitudes of the bifurcation, reaching its most northerly position at 12° S in December and its most southerly position at 17° S in July [35]. Numerical ocean circulation models predict that hatchlings emerging from Brazilian nesting beaches seasonally disperse to the north along the coast within the North Brazil Current and to the south within the Brazil Current [4,36,37].

Satellite tracking studies of nesting female loggerheads from Bahia, Brazil, reveal that post-nesting, some turtles migrate north, while others go south towards neritic foraging areas off the N-NE and S-SE coast of Brazil [38]. Genetic studies, mark-recapture data and by-catch data of loggerhead turtles (adults and subadults) within neritic waters off south Brazil and the Rio de la Plata estuary indicate that these turtles originated from Brazilian rookeries including Bahia, Espírito Santo and Rio de Janeiro [39-41]. Genetic analyses of juvenile loggerheads incidentally captured in pelagic longlines in the Rio Grande Seamount show that 59.5% of these juveniles originated from Brazilian rookeries, and 40.5% belonged to other populations (North Atlantic, Mediterranean and Indo-Pacific) [42-44]. Primary foraging grounds for larger juveniles and subadults are located along the continental shelf and slope within the Brazil, Uruguay and Argentina Exclusive Economic Zones and adjacent international waters [42,45]. Juvenile loggerheads also occur in neritic and oceanic waters off the NE coast of Brazil, though less frequently than in the south [42,43]. Small juveniles (less than 39 cm) are observed within Brazilian waters; however, initial dispersion patterns and early life history of loggerheads in the South Atlantic largely remains a mystery.

Here, we use an empirical and theoretical approach to (i) characterize the satellite-tracked movements and dispersal of oceanic stage loggerhead turtles in the southwestern Atlantic Ocean, (ii) test for differences in dispersal patterns during different periods (early, middle and late) of the sea turtle hatching season, (iii) experimentally test and model whether the turtles passively drift with local ocean currents or actively disperse (per [22]), and (iv) compare the behaviour and dispersal of young oceanic stage loggerheads in the Northwest Atlantic (e.g. [5]) to those in the Southwest Atlantic.

2. Material and methods

(a) Tagging and tracking of sea turtles and drifters

We deployed 19 solar-powered (9.5 g Microwave Telemetry, Inc.) satellite tags on laboratory-reared loggerhead sea turtles hatched from Bahia nesting beaches in November 2011 (n = 14) and April 2012 (n = 5). Turtles were reared to sizes greater than 10 cm straight carapace length (SCL) per Mansfield *et al.* [21] to ensure the tags were less than 3–5% of the turtles' weight. Tags were attached to the turtles' carapaces using a flexible acrylic-silicone-neoprene attachment method described by Mansfield *et al.* [5,21]. At release, turtle age averaged 230.1 d (\pm 110.5 d s.d.; range = 123–401 d), and SCL averaged 18.0 cm (\pm 4.6 cm s.d.; range = 10.8–26.8 cm; table 1).

Six releases, with 1–4 turtles per release, occurred at different times throughout the hatching season, following predicted changes

in current patterns off the Bahia coast. The prevailing current flows south in the early- to mid-hatching season and north in the late-hatching season; turtles were released in November/December (n = 7; 2012 and 2013), March (n = 5; 2013), and May (n = 7; 2013) to span the entirety of the hatchling dispersal period. Turtles were released close to the continental shelf slope, 10 km from the coast within the prevailing currents. With each turtle release, we deployed passive oceanographic drifters to serve as controls in testing for active versus passive turtle behaviour [22]. Following the methods of Putman & Mansfield [22], two types of drifters were deployed at the same location and times as the turtles were released: surface 'Eddie' drifters with drogues extending to 1 m depth (n = 4) and very-near surface 'Kathleen' or 'Bruno' bucket drifters that remain in the upper 0.37 m of the water column (n = 10).

Location data from turtles were imported into the Geographic Information System (GIS) and seaturtle.org's Satellite Tracking and Analysis Tool [46] to filter out location error. Location data from the satellite tags were derived from Argos location data and were archived and filtered using standard methods [5,47]. Positional data were further extracted from tracks of turtles at approximately 48 h intervals (steps) using only the best quality Argos location data (classified as '0', '1', '2' or '3', for which location errors are typically less than 5 km [48–50]). This sub-sampling of data allowed for standardization of track data and the number of steps used in subsequent analysis. We obtained 323 steps from the 19 loggerhead tracks. Eddie and Kathleen drifter track data were pooled for analysis and positional data were sub-sampled at approximately 48 h intervals [22], resulting in 213 steps from 14 drifters.

To provide additional oceanographic context to the tracking data, we performed simulations using the surface layer of the Global Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM) [30]. This HYCOM output comprised daily snapshots at 0.08° spatial resolution, obtained from http://hycom.org/. A rectangle ($0.08^{\circ} \times 0.08^{\circ}$, approx. 8-9 km) centred at the latitude and longitude of each deployment location served as a release site for 1000 virtual particles. The duration of particle advection was determined by the duration of the longest turtle track from a particular release site. Particles were advected at 30 min intervals through the HYCOM output using the Runge–Kutta fourth-order method applied in ICHTHYOP v. 2 particle-tracking software [51].

(b) Assessing the role of ocean currents, winds and swimming behaviour on turtle movement

To assess the role of abiotic factors and swimming behaviour on turtle movement, our approach followed methods established by Putman & Mansfield [22]. A $0.08^{\circ} \times 0.08^{\circ}$ rectangle (approx. 8-9 km), centred at the latitude and longitude of each approximately 48 h location or time step along the tracks, served as the release site of 200 virtual particles within the surface layer of Global HYCOM output (daily snapshots at 0.08° spatial resolution). This area was chosen to account for any error in location data [16]. The duration of particle advection was determined by the duration between successive points along the track; particles were advected at approximately 15 min intervals through the HYCOM output using the Runge-Kutta fourth-order method applied in ICHTHYOP v. 2 particle-tracking software [51]. The particle closest to the next point along the track was used to calculate the apparent ocean current velocity, derived from the straight-line distance between the starting location of the particle and its end location [22]. The particle vector was subtracted from the track vector (also derived from the straight-line distance between successive locations) to compute the apparent swimming velocity.

Any difference between the track vector and the particle vector is attributed to swimming behaviour; however, divergence is also expected due to incomplete resolution of all factors that might influence an organism's movement at the

Table 1. Metadata for the satellite-tracked turtles including individual tag ID; age of turtle (days), standardized straight carapace length (SCL) measured from notch to tip (n-t) measured in centimetres; date and location (latitude/longitude) of release; and number of days tracked post-release.

turtle ID	age (days)	SCL (n-t)	release date	latitude	longitude	track days	Argos positions (no.)
121364a ^b	221 ^a	10.80	8 Nov 2012	— 12.645	— 37.927	14	16
121367	224 ^a	16.80	11 Nov 2012	- 12.637	— 37.942	65	196
121369	224 ^a	14.30	11 Nov 2012	- 12.650	- 37.940	5	19
21364	338 ^a	14.20	4 Mar 2013	- 12.658	- 37.912	120	271
121366	338 ^a	19.30	4 Mar 2013	- 12.658	— 37.914	43	86
121371	123	15.20	4 Mar 2013	- 12.658	— 37.914	68	179
102119	127	13.70	8 Mar 2013	— 12.694	— 37.934	49	110
102145	127	13.70	8 Mar 2013	- 12.692	— 37.279	87	334
121365	181	17.30	1 May 2013	— 12.645	- 37.882	45	178
121363	181	18.20	1 May 2013	- 12.645	- 37.882	56	184
121370	181	16.70	1 May 2013	— 12.645	- 37.882	49	134
102146	181	17.20	1 May 2013	- 12.644	- 37.881	62	226
102150	181	17.40	1 May 2013	- 12.644	- 37.881	68	292
107875	181	17.00	1 May 2013	— 12.644	- 37.881	45	145
121368	181	17.50	1 May 2013	— 12.645	- 37.882	51	174
102116	401	25.60	7 Dec 2013	- 12.618	- 37.903	35	38
102117	401	26.30	7 Dec 2013	— 12.618	- 37.903	31	51
102123	377	26.80	7 Dec 2013	- 12.618	- 37.903	26	57
102126	401	24.10	7 Dec 2013	- 12.618	- 37.903	29	51

^aHatch date estimated.

^bThese tags were attached to the same turtle. This turtle, stranded during the first release, was rehabilitated and raised to a larger size before being released in the mid-hatching season.

ocean surface [52,53]. To test whether wind effects not represented in Global HYCOM could be responsible for apparent swimming behaviour, we extracted satellite-derived daily averaged wind velocity along each track. We determined whether 'swimming' velocities of turtles were correlated with data from the NOAA Blended Sea Winds (https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/ thredds/OceanWinds.html) using Spearman correlations (for speed) and circular-circular correlations (for direction).

We performed each analysis for the drifters deployed to determine the sensitivity of our numerical methods for inferring behaviour [22,52,53]. We hypothesized that if divergence along the tracks of turtles was primarily the result of model error, Mann–Whitney *U* tests would find no difference between the 'swimming speeds' of turtles and drifters [22].

3. Results

(a) Seasonal variation in turtle and drifter movements

Turtles were tracked between 5 and 120 d post-release (mean: 49.9 ± 26.2 d s.d.; table 1), ranging between 40 and 4350 km from their release site, travelling as far north as the island of Barbados in the Caribbean, or south off the coast of Rio Grande do Sul in Brazil. Most turtles (n = 17) remained within the Exclusive Economic Zone waters of Brazil for the duration of their tag transmissions, while only two travelled outside of Brazil's jurisdictional waters into waters off of French Guyana and Barbados (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S1a–c).

Of the seven turtles released early in the hatching season (November and December), five displayed net southward

movement during the period they were tracked (71%), one moved northeast (14%) and one moved west, stranding onshore (14%). Of the six drifters deployed alongside these turtles, four initially drifted south (67%) and two drifted northwest (33%). All drifters travelling northwest washed ashore within approximately 1 day and three south-moving drifters washed ashore within approximately one month. Virtual particles released in the surface layer of Global HYCOM at the same locations and dates as the turtle-drifter deployments travelled south of the release site, mostly remaining on or near the continental shelf (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S1a).

All five turtles released in the middle of the hatching season (March) initially moved southwards; three eventually moved north of the release latitude by mid-May (60%), with one moving offshore and the others remaining along the margin of the continental shelf. The two turtles continuing southwards generally remained just seaward of the continental shelf, both reaching latitude 20° S by June where transmission for one turtle was lost (40%). The other turtle was tracked for an additional month and continued southwards to 30° S. All four drifters simultaneously deployed initially travelled south and beached in less than one month (100%). Similarly, virtual particles tracked during the same period and for the same duration within Global HYCOM were primarily advected southwards and shorewards, with some eventually drifting north over the continental shelf (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S1b).

All seven turtles released at the end of the hatching season (May) quickly moved north along the continental shelf, crossing the Equator between 9 June and 25 June

5



Figure 2. Satellite tracks of yearling loggerhead sea turtles released in the early (yellow), middle (orange) and late (red) hatching season. Star indicates turtle release sites; coloured circles indicate the final position of each track. Grey shading indicates bathymetry, with the thin black line delineating the continental shelf. Laboratory-reared turtles from the same nests/clutches were released early in the hatching season (n = 3, November 2012 and n = 4, December 2013), in the middle of the hatching season (n = 5, March 2013), and late-hatching season (n = 7, May 2013). See electronic supplementary material for further oceanographic context.

(100%). The longest tracked turtle from this group (final transmission on 8 July) travelled to 13°N, just east of Barbados. All four drifters deployed with these turtles initially travelled north, but beached in less than 2 days (100%). Virtual particles released during this period in Global HYCOM also rapidly travelled northwards and shorewards (electronic supplementary material, figure S1c).

(b) The role of ocean currents, winds and behaviour

Estimates of ocean currents and winds along turtle and drifter tracks suggest that turtle movements are unlikely to be exclusively driven by ocean currents and winds. Regardless of the release group, ocean currents experienced by turtles and drifters tended to be westwards (median = 270° , Rayleigh r = 0.338, p = 0, n = 535 for turtle and drifter positions, combined) and winds were strongly westwards (median = 265° , Rayleigh r = 0.771, p = 0, n = 518 for turtle and drifter positions, combined). This resulted in drifters consistently washing ashore (93%), but not turtles (only 5%; figure 3a,c,d).

Calculated swimming velocity showed that turtles tended to orient to the northeast (median heading = 52°, Rayleigh r = 0.244, $p = 4.72 \times 10^{-9}$; n = 322) at a median speed of 0.262 m s⁻¹. Drifter travel direction was also biased eastwards (meaning the actual movement of drifters was less westwards than predicted by HYCOM) (median heading = 89°, Rayleigh r = 0.189, p = 0.0005; n = 213). However, these speeds were much lower than those calculated for turtles (median speed = 0.097 m s⁻¹; Mann–Whitney U = 13442, p < 0.001; n = 322turtle steps, n = 196 drifter steps). No relationship was observed between the calculated swimming speed of turtles and the wind speed (Spearman r = -0.02, p = 0.975, n = 322) or between turtles' swimming direction and wind direction (circular–circular correlation r = -0.01, p > 0.05, n = 322). By contrast, we detected a relationship between the calculated swimming speed of drifters and wind speed (Spearman r =0.185, p = 0.009, n = 196), though the travel direction of drifters and the direction of wind were unrelated (circular–circular correlation r = 0.03, p > 0.05, n = 196). Thus, the divergence between turtle tracks and modelled ocean currents is not likely attributable to winds or model error, but is most probably due to oriented swimming (e.g. figure 3a,c).

4. Discussion and conclusion

This study represents the first satellite tracks of oceanic stage, or 'lost years', sea turtles in the South Atlantic. Changes in turtle movement patterns occurred in conjunction with the seasonal shift in the bifurcation of the SEC into the BC and NBC to the north. In the early-hatching season, this bifurcation occurs to the north of the release sites off of Praia do Forte (12° S), resulting in greater southward flow and transport, while in the latehatching season, the bifurcation shifts to the south, resulting in northward transport. Track and drifter data suggest that the net movement of turtles is an interaction between turtle behaviour (e.g. orientation and swimming) and ocean circulation processes. Turtles tended to move north or south in response to changes in surface currents; yet, apparent eastward swimming kept turtles from being advected back on to the continental shelf (as occurred with drifters and modelled particles). Such oriented swimming is likely to be adaptive in facilitating their oceanic migration [54,55]. However, in Brazil this behaviour also exposes the young turtles to dynamic and seasonally varying ocean conditions. Such seasonal variation in oceanic dispersal has been predicted for sea turtle populations in other regions, including leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea) rookeries in the eastern South Atlantic Ocean (e.g. [56]). For Brazil's loggerheads in the western South Atlantic, tracking data indicate that spatio-temporal variation in early dispersal may result in a diversity of movement types that lead some individuals into the Northern Hemisphere, and others further into the South Atlantic.

Turtles in this study were laboratory-reared to a size appropriate for satellite tagging (per [21]), so it is possible that these turtles may behave differently than they would had they entered the ocean directly after hatching, or had been captured in the wild. However, young loggerheads are documented to have an innate magnetic compass and map sense (per [13,14]) and would be expected to orient to remain within waters hospitable to their growth and survival. Regardless, the highly divergent dispersal trajectories observed imply that older juveniles would need to possess a robust navigational system to return to their natal coast upon reaching maturity, as it does not appear that ocean currents would readily return turtles to the coast of Brazil [36].

We observed similar track durations for those turtles tracked in the Mansfield *et al.* [5] North Atlantic study. Turtle trajectories in the South Atlantic were mostly parallel to the coast, travelling along the outer edge of the continental shelf (for turtles travelling north or south), while those entrained in the eddy field moved further into oceanic waters. In the North Atlantic, all turtles initially dispersed within the Gulf Stream, the WBC of the NASG [5]. While some North Atlantic turtles departed the Gulf Stream towards the oceanic

(b)

11.8° S



36.7° W



Figure 3. (a-d) Examples of individual tracks of turtles and drifters, deployed near the continental shelf of Brazil relative to modelled ocean currents. Arrows indicate the calculated swimming velocity along each approximately 48 h track segment. Speeds less than 0.15 m s⁻¹ are shown as small circles. Light blue lines along the track indicate the paths of 200 virtual particles released at the corresponding location and time within Global HYCOM output, tracked for approximately 48 h. The white star indicates the release site. Bathymetric scale as in figure 2. Panels show sample movements of individual turtles (a = tagID 121366, c = tagID 121367) and drifters (b = drifterID 320278, d = drifterID 950263). Though top and lower panels show some similarities in net movement between turtles and drifters, comparison of tracks relative to particle movements simulated in modelled surface currents indicate that drifter and particle trajectories. These results imply that fine-scale movements of turtles along the coast of Brazil are not entirely driven by ocean circulation processes. The apparent swimming behaviour of turtles can result in substantial differences in net movements between turtles and currents over time (electronic supplementary material, figure 1).

waters of the Sargasso Sea after their initial dispersal, all turtles remained entrained within the confines of the NASG and initial net dispersal of all tracked North Atlantic turtles was to the north/northeast. In this study, only turtles released early- and in the mid-hatching season remained associated with or within the SASG. None of the late-season releases remained within the gyre system, exiting the SASG in the NBC. Further, turtle dispersal trajectories changed based on seasonal shifts in local current patterns, a phenomenon that does not occur in the North Atlantic's Gulf Stream or adjacent to the North Atlantic's primary loggerhead nesting beaches. Young turtles in the western South Atlantic appear to be influenced by seasonal changes in these currents, travelling south or remaining in the eddy field early in the hatching season, and travelling north along the coastline in the late season on trajectories bringing late-season turtles into the Northern Hemisphere. Thus, seasonal trans-equatorial transport of oceanic stage turtles is possible and probably results in

(*a*) 12.0° S

contributions of Brazilian turtles to mixed-stock foraging grounds in the North Atlantic [12].

Turtles tracked crossing the Equator were rapidly travelling northwards with the North Brazil and Guiana Currents when transmission was lost. These trajectories probably would bring turtles through the Caribbean Sea, Gulf of Mexico, and possibly into the NASG. Our findings support genetic data showing that a relatively large percentage of Brazil's turtles occur in the northeastern Atlantic [12] and, more generally, the broad connectivity across ocean basins that is predicted in ocean modelling studies (e.g. [4,57]). Based on seasonal variation in dispersal trajectories observed (figure 2) and the proportion of hatchlings that emerge each month [28,29], approximately 75% of Brazilian turtles would be expected to remain in the Southern Hemisphere, whereas 25% of the population would travel into the Northern Hemisphere.

It remains unanswered whether there are differences in sex ratios (differences in incubation temperatures early

7

versus late season) or survival and growth for the dispersal trajectories observed (those remaining in northern habitats with year-round favourable temperatures might have increased fitness compared with those moving further south). These factors have important implications for understanding mixed-stock foraging aggregations and metapopulation dynamics in sea turtle populations.

Female loggerheads nesting in Bahia lay an average of four nests per reproductive season, with individuals laying as many as eight observed nests per season [58]. So, it is probable that an individual female could lay clutches that would result in her offspring/genetics dispersing to *both* southern and northern regions, thereby not 'putting all of her eggs in one hemispheric basket'. Dynamic oceanic conditions offshore of the Bahia rookery may select for plasticity in behavioural responses among young oceanic turtles depending on the abiotic conditions encountered or experienced, turtles may behave differently.

Dynamic conditions may not allow turtles to channelize on a single 'preferred' oceanic region for their nursery habitat; however, this may buffer the population against environmental changes or even anthropogenic threats in different regions, fostering population resiliency versus population abundance [59].

Many questions remain regarding the early life history of sea turtles. The need for improved tracking technologies is evident. No methods yet exist to track these animals over the entirety of their long (greater than 1-2 years) oceanic stage. Miniaturized 'nano-tags' have been used to track hatchling sea turtles as they depart the nesting beach, but these only provided data for the first approximately 15 km (approx. 8 h) of their transoceanic migration [60]. The tags in this study transmit data over longer periods and larger areas, but are too large to affix to hatchlings [21]. Additionally, these tags have duty cycles that limit transmissions to less than 12 h per 48 h recharging cycles. Smaller, more accurate (e.g. GPS capable) satellite tags are needed with 24 h transmissions to allow detailed insight into turtles' activity including the proportion of time turtles are actively swimming or orienting versus at rest, and whether there are diel patterns of behaviour. Other small marine swimmers are known to diverge from the

direction of local currents or water flow [61]; incorporating compass headings with swim speeds would help refine our understanding of the degree to which (and when) young, oceanic turtles are moving with, or independently of, local currents [62]. As more laboratory-reared and wild-caught oceanic stage sea turtles are tracked from different rookeries in different ocean basins, we will probably find that long-held hypotheses regarding the 'lost years' dispersal and behaviour (e.g. that turtles passively drift within ocean gyre currents [8,9]) cannot be applied to all turtles everywhere—one hypothesis does not fit all.

Ethics. Fieldwork in Brazil was carried out under approved University of Central Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol 13–37 W, and permit no. 42760-5 from SISBIO (Authorization and Information System on Biodiversity), and the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation (ICMBio)/Ministry of Environment (MMA) in Brazil.

Data accessibility. Track data associated with this study are archived online on seaturtle.org's Satellite Tracking and Analysis Tool [46] and Dryad Digital Repository (http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad. 7fh30) [63].

Authors' contributions. K.L.M., M.L.M., M.A.G.M., Y.S., G.L. and N.F.P. contributed to the study design. T.P. was the veterinarian responsible for the rearing, release approvals and morphometrics of the turtles used in this study. K.L.M. satellite-tagged the turtles, programmed/deployed the drifters and managed the track data in this study. N.F.P., M.L.M. and A.E.S. conducted the analyses. K.L.M., N.F.P., A.E.S., M.L.M. and M.A.G.M. wrote the manuscript and Y.S. contributed edits.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. Funding and support for this project were provided by the National Academies Research Associateship Program, NOAA Fisheries Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Southeast Fisheries Science Center and Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory, the University of Central Florida and Projeto TAMAR.

Acknowledgements. We thank the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Northeast Fisheries Science Center and J. Manning for expertise, remote troubleshooting and data accessibility associated with drifter tracking. We also thank TAMAR (Brazilian Sea Turtle Conservation Program) personnel for field assistance and B. Torres for creative drifter troubleshooting and redesign. Thanks are expressed to J. Pereira and Prof. C. Tanajura, from the Federal University of Bahia (UFBA), for making available the data from REMO research group and providing the figures on the prevailing surface currents off the release site.

References

- Hays GC *et al.* 2016 Key questions in marine megafauna movement ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 6, 463–475. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.015)
- Rees AF *et al.* 2016 Are we working towards global research priorities for management and conservation of sea turtles? *Endanger. Species Res.* 31, 337–382. (doi:10.3354/esr00801)
- Hazen EL, Maxwell SM, Bailey H, Bograd SJ, Hamann M, Gaspar P, Godley BJ, Shillinger GL. 2012 Ontogeny in marine tagging and tracking science: technologies and data gaps. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 457, 221–240. (doi:10.3354/meps09857)
- Mansfield KL, Putman NF. 2013 Oceanic habits and habitats—*Caretta caretta*. In *The biology of Sea turtles*, vol. III (eds J Wyneken, KJ Lohmann, JA Musick), pp. 189–210. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

- Mansfield KL, Wyneken J, Porter WP, Luo J. 2014 First satellite tracks of neonate sea turtles redefine the 'lost years' oceanic niche. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20133039. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2013.3039)
- National Research Council. 2010 Sea turtle status and trends: integrating demography and abundance. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Brongersma LD. 1972 European Atlantic turtles. Zool. Verh. 121, 1–318.
- Carr AF. 1986 Rips, FADs and little loggerheads. *BioScience* 36, 92–100. (doi:10.2307/1310109)
- 9. Carr AF. 1987 New perspectives on the pelagic stage of sea turtle development. *Conserv. Biol.* 1, 103–121. (doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.1987. tb00020.x)
- Bolten AB, Bjorndal KA, Martins HR, Dellinger T, Biscoito MJ, Encalada SE, Bowen BW. 1998 Transatlantic developmental migrations of loggerhead sea turtles demonstrated by mtDNA sequence analysis. *Ecol. Appl.* 8, 1–7. (doi:10.1890/ 1051-0761(1998)008[0001:TDMOLS]2.0.C0;2)
- Bolten AB, Martins HR, Bjorndal KA, Gordon J. 1993 Size distribution of pelagic-stage loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the waters around the Azores and Madeira. *Arquipélago* 11, 49–54.
- Monzón-Argüello C, Rico C, Carreras C, Calabuig P, Marco A, Lopez-Juardo LF. 2009 Variation in spatial distribution of juvenile loggerhead turtles in the eastern Atlantic and western Mediterranean Sea. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **373**, 79–86. (doi:10.1016/ j.jembe.2009.03.007)

- Lohmann KJ, Cain SD, Dodge SA, Lohmann CMF. 2001 Regional magnetic fields as navigational markers for sea turtles. *Science* 294, 364–366. (doi:10.1126/science.1064557)
- Lohmann KJ, Putman NF, Lohmann CMF. 2012 The magnetic map of hatchling loggerhead sea turtles. *Curr. Opin Neurobiol.* 22, 336–342. (doi:10.1016/j. conb.2011.11.005)
- Hays GC, Marsh R. 1997 Estimating the age of juvenile loggerhead sea turtles in the North Atlantic. *Can. J. Zool.* **75**, 40–46. (doi:10.1139/z97-005)
- Putman NF, He R. 2013 Tracking the long-distance dispersal of marine organisms: sensitivity to ocean model resolution. J. R. Soc. Interface 10, 20120979. (doi:10.1098/rsif.2012.0979)
- Bolten AB. 2003 Variation in sea turtle life history patterns: neritic vs. oceanic developmental stages. In *The biology of sea turtles*, vol. II (eds PL Lutz, JA Musick, J Wyneken), pp. 243–257. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Bolten AB. 2003 Active swimmers—passive drifters: the oceanic juvenile stage of loggerheads in the Atlantic system. In *Loggerhead sea turtles* (eds AB Bolten, BE Witherington), pp. 63–78. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Witherington BE. 2002 Ecology of neonate loggerhead turtles inhabiting lines of downwelling near a Gulf Stream front. *Mar. Biol.* 140, 843–853. (doi:10.1007/s00227-001-0737-x)
- Putman NF, Verley P, Shay TJ, Lohmann KJ. 2012 Simulating transoceanic migrations of loggerhead sea turtles: merging magnetic navigation behavior with an ocean circulation model. J. Exp. Biol. 215, 1863–1870. (doi:10.1242/jeb.067587)
- Mansfield KL, Wyneken J, Rittschoff D, Walsh M, Lim CW, Richards P. 2012 Satellite tag attachment methods for tracking neonate sea turtles. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 457, 181–192. (doi:10.3354/meps09485)
- Putman NF, Mansfield KL. 2015 Direct evidence of swimming behavior demonstrates active dispersal in the sea turtle 'lost years'. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 1221–1227. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.03.014)
- Polovina JJ, Kobayashi DR, Parker DM, Seki MP, Balazs GH. 2000 Turtles on the edge: movement of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) along oceanic fronts, spanning longline fishing grounds in the central North Pacific, 1997 – 1998. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 9, 71–82. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2419.2000.00123.x)
- Abecassis M, Senina I, Lehodey P, Gaspar P, Parker D, Balazs G, Polovina J. 2013 A model of loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) habitat and movement in the oceanic North Pacific. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e73274. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0073274)
- Christiansen F, Putman NF, Farman R, Parker DM, Rice MR, Polovina JJ, Balazs GH, Hays GC. 2016 Spatial variation in directional swimming enables juvenile sea turtles to reach and remain in productive waters. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 557, 247–259. (doi:10.3354/meps11874)
- 26. Amorim FN, Cirano M, Soares ID, Lentini CAD. 2011 Coastal and shelf circulation in the vicinity of Camamu Bay (14S), Eastern Brazilian shelf. *Cont*.

Shelf Res. **31**, 108–119. (doi:10.1016/j.csr. 2010.11.011)

- Casale P, Marcovaldi M. 2015 *Caretta caretta* (South West Atlantic subpopulation). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T84191235A84191397. See http://dx. doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T84191235 A84191397.en. Downloaded on 08 May 2017.
- Lima EPE, Wanderlinde J, de Almeida DT, Lopez G, Goldberg DW. 2012 Nesting ecology and conservation of the loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Chelonian Conserv. Biol.* 11, 249–254. (doi:10.2744/CCB-0996.1)
- Marcovaldi MA, Chaloupka M. 2007 Conservation status of the loggerhead sea turtle in Brazil: an encouraging outlook. *Endanger. Species Res.* 3, 133–143. (doi:10.3354/esr003133)
- Chassignet EP, Hurlburt HE, Smedstad OM, Halliwell GR, Hogan PJ, Wallcraft AJ, Baraille R, Bleck R. 2007 The HYCOM (Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model) data assimilative system. J. Mar. Syst. 65, 60–83. (doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.09.016)
- Tanajura CAS, Santana AN, Mignac D, Lima LN, Belyaev K, Xie J. 2014 The REMO ocean data assimilation system into HYCOM (RODAS_H): general description and preliminary results, atmos. *Ocean. Sci. Lett.* 7, 464–470.
- Rezende LF, Silva PA, Cirano M, Peliz A, Dubert J. 2011 Mean circulation, seasonal cycle, and eddy interactions in the Eastern Brazilian Margin, a Nested ROMS Model. *J. Coast. Res.* 27, 329–347. (doi:10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-10-00059.1)
- Dominguez JML, da Silva RP, Nunes AS, Freire AFM. 2013 The narrow, shallow, low-accommodation shelf of central Brazil: sedimentology, evolution, and human uses. *Geomorphology* 203, 46–59. (doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.07.004)
- Rodrigues RR, Rothstein LM, Wimbush M. 2007 Seasonal variability of the south equatorial current bifurcation in the Atlantic Ocean: a numerical study. J. Phys. Oceanog. 37, 16–30. (doi:10.1175/JP02983.1)
- Pereira J, Gabioux M, Marta-Almeida M, Cirano M, Paiva AM, Al A. 2014 The bifurcation of the western boundary current system of the South Atlantic Ocean. *Rev. Bra de Geofís.* 32, 241–257. (doi:10. 22564/rbgf.v32i2.456)
- Putman NF *et al.* 2014 Numerical dispersal simulations and genetics help explain the origin of hawksbill sea turtles in Ascension Island. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 450, 98–108. (doi:10.1016/j.jembe. 2013.10.026)
- Proietti MC, Reisser J, Marins LF, Marcovaldi MA, Soares LS, Monteiro DS, Wijeratne S, Pattiaratchi C, Secchi ER. 2014 Hawksbill × loggerhead sea turtle hybrids at Bahia, Brazil: where do their offspring go? *PeerJ* 2, e255. (doi:10.7717/peerj.255)
- Marcovaldi MA, Lopez GG, Soares LS, Lima EHSM, Thomé JCA, Almeida AP. 2010 Satellite-tracking of female loggerhead turtles highlights fidelity behavior in northeastern Brazil. *Endanger. Species Res.* 12, 263–272. (doi:10.3354/esr00308)
- Almeida AP, Baptistotte C, Schineider JAP. 2000 Loggerhead turtle tagged in Brazil found dead in Uruguay. *Marine Turtle Newsletter* 87, 10.

- Laporta M, Lopez G. 2003 Loggerhead sea turtle tagged in Brazil caught by a trawler in waters of the common Argentinian-Uruguayan fishing area. *Marine Turtle Newsletter* **102**, 14.
- Caraccio MN, Naro-Maciel E, Márquez A, Domingo A, Miller P, Laporta M, Pereira A. 2008 Exploring the origin of loggerhead sea turtles in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean by mitochondrial DNA analysis. In Proceedings of the 27th Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation, (eds AF Rees, M Frick, A Panagopoulou, K Williams (comps)) p. 121. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SEFSC-569.
- Sales G, Giffoni BB, Barata PCR. 2008 Incidental catch of sea turtles by the Brazilian pelagic longline fishery. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* 88, 853–864. (doi:10.1017/S0025315408000441)
- Reis EC, Soares LS, Vargas SM, Santos FR, Young RJ, Bjorndal KA, Bolten AB, Lôbo-Hajdu G. 2009 Genetic composition, population structure and phylogeography of the loggerhead sea turtle: colonization hypothesis for the Brazilian rookeries. *Conserv. Genet.* **11**, 1467– 1477. (doi:10.1007/s10592-009-9975-0)
- Shamblin BM *et al.* 2015 Deeper mitochondrial sequencing reveals cryptic diversity and structure in Brazilian green turtle rookeries. *Chelonian Conserv. Biol.* 4, 167 – 172. (doi:10.2744/CCB-1152.1)
- Barceló C *et al.* 2013 High-use areas, seasonal movements and dive patterns of juvenile loggerhead sea turtles in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **479**, 235–250. (doi:10. 3354/meps10222)
- Coyne MS, Godley BJ. 2005 Satellite Tracking and Analysis Tool (STAT): an integrated system for archiving, analyzing and mapping animal tracking data. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **301**, 1–7. (doi:10.3354/ meps301001)
- Mansfield KL, Saba VS, Keinath J, Musick JA. 2009 Satellite telemetry reveals a dichotomy in migration strategies among juvenile loggerhead sea turtles in the northwest Atlantic. *Mar. Biol.* 156, 2555 – 2570. (doi:10.1007/s00227-009-1279-x)
- Costa DP *et al.* 2010 Accuracy of ARGOS locations of pinnipeds at-sea estimated using Fastloc GPS. *PLoS ONE* 5, 1–9. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008677)
- Hays GC, Akesson S, Godley BJ, Luschi P, Santidrian P. 2001 The implications of location accuracy for the interpretation of satellite-tracking data. *Anim. Behav.* 61, 1035–1040. (doi:10.1006/ anbe.2001.1685)
- Vincent C, McConnell BJ, Ridoux V, Fedak MA. 2002 Assessment of ARGOS location accuracy from satellite tags deployed on captive grey seals. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 18, 156–166. (doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.2002.tb01025.x)
- Lett C, Verley P, Mullon C, Parada C, Brochier T, Penven P, Blanke B. 2008 Lagrangian tool for modelling ichthyoplankton dynamics. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 23, 1210–1214. (doi:10.1016/j. envsoft.2008.02.005)
- Fossette S, Putman NF, Lohmann KJ, Marsh R, Hays GC. 2012 A biologist's guide to assessing ocean currents: a review. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 457, 285–301. (doi:10.3354/meps09581)

8

- Putman NF, Lumpkin R, Sacco AE, Mansfield KL.
 2016 Passive drift or active swimming in marine organisms? *Proc. R. Soc. B* 283, 20161689. (doi:10. 1098/rspb.2016.1689)
- Putman NF, Scott R, Verley P, Marsh R, Hays GC. 2012 Natal site and offshore swimming influence fitness and long-distance ocean transport in young sea turtles. *Mar. Biol.* **159**, 2117–2126. (doi:10. 1007/s00227-012-1995-5)
- Putman NF, Bane JM, Lohmann KJ 2010 Sea turtle nesting distributions and oceanographic constraints on hatchling migration. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277, 3631–3637. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2010.1088)
- Scott R *et al.* 2017 Spatio-temporal variation in ocean current-driven hatchling dispersion: Implications for the world's largest leatherback sea

turtle nesting region. *Divers. Distrib.* **23**, 604–614. (doi:10.1111/ddi.12554)

- Putman NF, Abreu-Grobois FA, Iturbe-Darkistade I, Putman EM, Richards PM, Verley P. 2015 Deepwater Horizon oil spill impacts to sea turtles could span the Atlantic. *Biol. Lett.* **11**, 20150596. (doi:10.1098/ rsbl.2015.0596)
- Lara Paulo H. 2016 Parâmetros populacionais de Caretta caretta (Linneaus, 1758) em Praia do Forte, Mata de São João, Bahia, Brasil. Master Thesis, p.37. Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia.
- 59. Secor DH. 2015 *Migration ecology of marine fishes*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Scott R, Biastoch A, Roder C, Stiebens VA, Eizaguirre
 C. 2014 Nano-tags for neonates and oceanmediated swimming behaviours linked to rapid

dispersal of hatchling sea turtles. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **281**, 20141209. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.1209)

- Fossette S, Gleiss AC, Chalumeau J, Bastian T, Armstrong CD, Vandenabeele S, Karpytchev M, Hays GC. 2015 Current-oriented swimming by jellyfish and its role in bloom maintenance. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 342–347. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.050)
- Hays G. 2017 Ocean current and marine life. *Curr. Biol.* 27, R431–R510. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017. 01.044)
- Mansfield KL, Mendilaharsu ML, Putman NF, dei Marcovaldi MAG, Sacco AE, Lopez G, Pires T, Swimmer Y. 2017 Data From: First satellite tracks of South Atlantic sea turtle 'lost years': seasonal variation in trans-equatorial movement. Dryad Digital Repository. (http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.7fh30)